

REVIEW

[Redacted]

25X1

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

3 March 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director, Intelligence

FROM: Assistant Director, C&D

SUBJECT: OCD, Table of Organization

REFERENCE: AD/CD Memo to DD/I dated 11 February 1952, subject as above, with enclosures

1. Strength actually required by OCD to perform jobs for which it is now held accountable is [redacted] as nearly as can be estimated. This estimate does not allow for the additional assignments which other Offices will undoubtedly call upon OCD to perform in the year or so ahead. To handle any such assignments, with the estimated strength of [redacted] OCD will be obliged to cut back on some of the jobs now planned for.

2. Graph II, opposite, and the data on recruitment and rejections shown in Reference, make it clear that a strength of [redacted] could be reached by early 1953 if current rates of recruitment and rejection were continued into the future, and if authorized T/O strength were raised immediately to [redacted] and were again raised to [redacted] on 1 July 1952.

3. Graph I, opposite, shows the probable rate of growth if, instead of using [redacted] as a target strength figure, we use the figure of [redacted] which represents present authorized strength plus increased called for in the 1953 Budget estimate. It should be noted that the Budget estimate called for an increase of [redacted] positions, added to then authorized strength of [redacted] making a total of [redacted]. In the interim, however, authorized strength has been raised [redacted] chiefly because of the assignment to OCD of certain functions, and personnel to perform them, which were earlier handled by other Offices. Current authorized strength of [redacted] plus [redacted] in the 1953 Budget estimate, gives a target strength figure of [redacted].

4. Graph I shows that we may anticipate reaching an actual on duty strength of [redacted] by early 1953 provided: (1) authorized T/O is now raised to [redacted] — the figure shown in the Budget estimate, and "on duty ceiling", including persons recruited but not cleared, is now raised to about [redacted] and provided (2) on 1 July of this year authorized T/O is further raised to [redacted] and "on duty ceiling" is raised to [redacted]. These two steps would probably enable us to reach and hold an actual on duty strength of [redacted].

25X1

[redacted]

- 2 -

25X1

25X11

5. Strength of [redacted] is [redacted] persons short of the [redacted] now estimated as the actual requirement. If we are held to [redacted] we will need to cut here and whittle there, in order to accomplish as much as we can on each of the assignments now before us. I have consulted with AD/RR on this subject, and he agrees with me that the two big jobs of relatively lowest priority [redacted]

25X1
25X1

25X1

[redacted]

These, then, are the two jobs which will suffer most from the cut.

6. You have stated that you wish us now to plan for a total strength not in excess of current strength plus 1953 Budget estimates. Under these instructions I believe we should use Graph I opposite as our base for planning. If we are to do so, the following recommendations should be approved at once:

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

25X1

(a) That authorized Table of Organization for OCD be raised at once from [redacted] (the figure actually shown in the 1953 Budget estimate).

25X1

(b) That "on-duty-ceiling", including both persons on duty and persons recruited but not yet cleared for duty, be raised at once from [redacted]

25X1

(c) That, effective 1 July 1952, the authorized T/O be raised again to [redacted]

25X1

(d) That, effective 1 July 1952, the "on-duty-ceiling" be raised from [redacted]

James H. Andrews

SECRET